AUDITING PROCEDURES REPORT

Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.						
Local Government Type:		Local Government Nam	County			
☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village 🖂	Other	Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority			Macon	nb
Audit Date	Opinion Da				eport Submi	tted To State:
June 30, 2005	September	<u> </u>		December 29, 200		
yes no 2. There are accumul yes no 3. There are instance	I Accountin in Michigan or the Audit. egistered to ponses have the item belont units/fundulated deficies of non-c	g Standards Board (GAS) by the Michigan Departs of Local Units of Governo practice in Michigan. e been disclosed in the flow: ds/agencies of the local uses in one or more of this use ompliance with the Unifo	SB) and the of ment of Treat nament in Miconancial state unit are exclusinits are exclusing an Accountir	Uniform Reporting sury. Shigan as revised. ments, including the ded from the finance and budgeting A	e notes, or in cial statement etained earnict (P.A. 2 of	the report of comments to ts. ings (P.A. 275 of 1980) 1968, as amended).
order issued under growing with the local unit hold [MCL 129.91] or I [MCL 129.91] o	er the Emer ds deposits/ P.A. 55 of 1 s been delin violated the the current rement, no s credit can	e conditions of either an orgency Municipal Loan Actinvestments which do no 982, as amended [MCL 3 quent in distributing tax re Constitutional requirement year. If the plan is more contributions are due (pads and has not adopted and an investment policy a	et. It comply with 38.1132]) evenues that ent (Article 9, ethan 100% aid during the an applicable	n statutory requirem t were collected for Section 24) to fund funded and the ov e year). policy as required	another taxing current year of erfunding creater by P.A. 266 c	0 of 1943, as amended ing unit. Dearned pension benefit dedits are more than the of 1995 (MCL 129.241)
We have enclosed the following:				Enclosed	To Be Forward	
The letter of comments and recommenda	ations.					\boxtimes
Reports on individual federal assistance	programs (program audits).				\boxtimes
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).						\boxtimes
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name)	: Pla	ante & Moran,	PLLC			
Street Address 10 South Main Street, Suite 200			City Mt. Clemens	s	State MI	ZIP 48043
Accountant Signature						_1
Plante & Moran, PLLC						

Financial Report
with Additional Information
June 30, 2005

	Contents
Report Letter	1
Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Assets	2
Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets	3
Statement of Cash Flows	4
Notes to Financial Statements	5-9
Additional Information	10
Statement of Operating Revenue and Expenses - Budget and Actual	11

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Suite 20C 10 S. Main St. Mount Clemens, MI 48043 Tel: 586.465.220C Fax: 586.469.0165 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and for the years then ended, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority as of June 30, 2005 and 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority has not presented a management's discussion and analysis, which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that the management's discussion and analysis is necessary to supplement, although is not required to be a part of, the basis financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of operating revenue and expenses - budget and actual is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Statement of Net Assets

	June 30				
	2005			2004	
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash (Note 2)	\$	516,591	\$	876,036	
Accounts receivable	•	336,426	·	374,503	
Land contract receivable - Current portion (Note 4)		707,833		675,137	
Other current assets				3,451	
Total current assets		1,560,850		1,929,127	
Other assets - Land contract receivable - Net of current					
portion (Note 4)				591,539	
Total assets		1,560,850		2,520,666	
Liabilities - Current liabilities - Accounts payable		160,387		189,448	
Net Assets - Unrestricted	<u>\$</u>	1,400,463	<u>\$</u>	2,331,218	

Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended June 30				
	2005	2004			
Operating Revenue Billings from constituent municipalities	\$ 1,737,916	\$ 2,023,133			
Other	20,097	44,284			
Total operating revenue	1,758,013	2,067,417			
Operating Expenses					
Fringe benefits	9,225	10,592			
Utilities	-	37			
Refuse disposal	1,656,339				
General insurance	25,556	24,751			
Professional services	50,138	61,178			
Other	29,581	15,319			
Total operating expenses	1,770,839	2,039,439			
Operating Income (Loss)	(12,826)	27,978			
Nonoperating Revenue					
Interest on investments	85,461	118,891			
MERS pension overfunding refund	726,535				
Total nonoperating revenue	811,996	118,891			
Distributions to Constituent Municipalities	(1,729,925)				
Change in Net Assets	(930,755)	146,869			
Net Assets - Beginning of year	2,331,218	2,184,349			
Net Assets - End of year	<u>\$ 1,400,463</u>	<u>\$ 2,331,218</u>			

Statement of Cash Flows

		ine 30			
		2005	2004		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities Payments from municipalities Proceeds from MERS pension overfunding refund Payments to vendors and employees	\$	1,796,089 726,535 (1,796,449)	\$	2,121,352 - (2,079,377)	
Net cash provided by operating activities		726,175		41,975	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities - Interest received		85,461		118,891	
Cash Flows from Noncapital and Related Financing Activities - Distributions to constituent municipalities		(1,729,925)		-	
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities - Proceeds from land contract		558,844		573,324	
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash		(359,445)		734,190	
Cash - Beginning of year		876,036		141,846	
Cash - End of year	\$	516,591	\$	876,036	
Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash from Operating Activities Operating income (loss) Proceeds from MERS pension overfunding refund Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash from operating activities - Changes in assets and liabilities:	\$	(12,826) 726,535	\$	27,978 -	
Decrease in accounts receivable (Increase) decrease in other current assets Decrease in accounts payable		38,076 3,451 (29,061)		53,935 (148) (39,790)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$</u>	726,175	<u>\$</u>	41,975	

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005 and 2004

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Grosse Pointes-Clinton Refuse Disposal Authority (the "Authority") conform to accounting principles as applicable to enterprise funds of governmental units. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, there are no component units to be included in these financial statements.

Reporting Entity

The Authority was organized, under provisions of Act 179 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1947, on August 16, 1962 by action of the city councils of the Cities of Grosse Pointe Farms, Grosse Pointe Park, and Grosse Pointe and the township board of Clinton Township for the purpose of disposing refuse. The Authority was later expanded to include the Village of Grosse Pointe Shores and the Cities of Mount Clemens, Grosse Pointe Woods, and Harper Woods. Each constituent municipality has one director on the board of directors, with the exception of Clinton Township, which has two. The Authority currently operates under a 15-year agreement with a disposal company, expiring March 2014, whereby the disposal company disposes of the refuse for the eight member communities and bills the Authority. The Authority bills each community for their share of the disposal costs plus a \$1 per ton administrative fee.

Basis of Accounting - The accrual basis of accounting is used by the Authority. The Authority follows all pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and those pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued prior to November 30, 1989.

Operating Revenue - Operating revenue represents fees charged to constituent municipalities.

Landfill Closure Costs - The Authority does not own or operate a municipal solid waste landfill and, therefore, has no liability for closure and postclosure care costs.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005 and 2004

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The Authority is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The Authority's cash and investments are subject to several types of risk, which are examined in more detail below:

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. The Authority does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the Authority had \$300,444 of bank deposits (checking accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The Authority believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the Authority evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Custodial Credit Risk of Investments - Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Authority will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Authority does not have a policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the following investment securities were uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty or by its trust department or agent but not in the Authority's name:

		Carrying	
Type of Investment		Value	How Held
Swoon account	¢	219 202	Counterparty's trust department
Sweep account	Ф	217,203	Counterparty's trust department

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005 and 2004

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Credit Risk - State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The Authority has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

			Rating
Investment	<u>Fair Value</u>	Rating	Organization
Sweep account	\$ 219,203	AAA	Moody's

Note 3 - Retirement System

As of February 28, 2002, the Authority no longer retained employees. The most recent actuarial valuation is as of December 31, 2002. No actuarial valuations were performed subsequent to December 31, 2002. During the year ended June 30, 2005, the Authority received a refund related to the overfunding in the plan totaling \$726,535, which was subsequently refunded to the constituent municipalities.

Plan Description

The Authority contributed to the Michigan Employees' Retirement System (MERS), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covered all employees of the Authority. The MERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. The MERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the MERS. That report may be obtained by writing to the MERS at 1134 Municipal Way, Lansing, Michigan 48917.

Funding Policy

The obligation to contribute to and maintain the system for these employees was established by negotiation with the Authority's competitive bargaining unit and required a contribution from the employees of 6 percent.

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005 and 2004

Note 3 - Retirement System (Continued)

Annual Pension Cost

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, the Authority's annual pension cost was equal to the Authority's required and actual contribution, all of which were zero. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at December 31, 2002, using the entry actual age cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) an 8 percent investment rate of return (4.5 percent due to inflation), (b) projected salary increases of 4.5 percent per year, compounded annually, attributable to inflation, (c) additional projected salary increases ranging from 0 percent to 4.16 percent per year attributable to seniority/merit, and (d) the assumption that benefits will increase 2.5 percent per year (annually) after retirement. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. Normally, the unfunded actuarial liability is amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis; however, since the plan is overfunded, this has not been necessary.

Three-year Trend Information

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30							
		2005	2004			2003		
	<u> </u>							
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Percentage of APC contributed		100%		100%		100%		
Net pension obligation	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-		
		Valuation \	' ea	r Ended De	ce	mber 3 I		
		2002	2001			2000		
	'							
Actuarial value of assets	\$	3,627,863	\$	3,644,316	\$	3,447,464		
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)								
(entry age)	\$	2,294,897	\$	1,898,861	\$	1,844,167		
Overfunded AAL (UAAL)	\$	1,332,966	\$	1,745,455	\$	1,603,297		
Funded ratio		158%		192%		187%		
Covered payroll	\$	-	\$	107,523	\$	108,368		
UAAL as a percentage of covered								
payroll		- %		- %		- %		

Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2005 and 2004

Note 4 - Land Contract Receivable

During the year ended June 30, 2003, the Authority sold 103 acres of land in Lenox Township for \$2,340,000, before payment of \$80,000 of selling expenses. As part of the sale agreement, the Authority entered into a land contract agreement totaling \$1,840,000, with interest at 8.0 percent and monthly payments of \$62,673 to be collected through 2006.

Note 5 - Contingencies

The Authority recognizes liabilities for environmental remediation costs when such obligations are probable and reasonably estimable. As of June 30, 2005, the Authority is not aware of any environmental contamination.

Additional Information

Statement of Operating Revenue and Expenses Budget and Actual Year Ended June 30, 2005

	Original Amended Budget Budget		 Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)			
Operating Revenue							
Billings from constituent municipalities Other	\$	2,050,000	\$	1,835,000	\$ 1,737,916 20,097	\$ 	(97,084) 9,097
Total operating revenue	<u>\$</u>	2,050,000	<u>\$</u>	1,846,000	\$ 1,758,013	\$	(87,987)
Operating Expenses							
Fringe benefits	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	\$ 9,225	\$	775
Refuse disposal		1,950,000		1,750,000	1,656,339		93,661
Maintenance - Building and grounds		10,000		16,000	17,268		(1,268)
Advertising		7,000		7,000	7,558		(558)
Office supplies		1,500		1,400	901		499
General insurance		25,000		25,556	25,556		-
Engineering		12,000		-	-		=
Professional services		69,500		69,500	50,138		19,362
Membership dues		700		700	700		_
Other	_		_	1,000	 3,154		(2,154)
Total operating expenses	<u>\$</u>	2,085,700	\$	1,881,156	\$ 1,770,839	\$	110,317